UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,912	03/23/2007	Graham Eastham	31229-226445	1376
26694 VENABLE LLI	7590 01/26/200 <b>P</b>	9	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3438	-		WITHERSPOON, SIKARL A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/561,912   EASTHAM ET AL.					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sikarl A. Witherspoon	1621				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) Sikarl A. Witherspoon.	(3) <u>Keith Haddaway</u> .					
(2) <u>Thomas Barry</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>15 January 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) <mark> </mark>	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all rejected claims</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Fox et al and Wang et al</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ℚ	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the examiner discussed the after-final amendment with applicants' representative, and determined that the amendment would not place the claims in condition for allowance at this time. A discussion subsequently ensued regarding possible claim amendments and/or arguments for applicants to persue in the event that an RCE is filed.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Sikarl A. Witherspoon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621						

Application No.

Applicant(s)